EQUITY INVESTMENT CORPORATION

2017 Year-End Commentary
All-Cap Value & Large-Cap Value

January 2018

Stocks finished the year with a bang, rising for the ninth consecutive quarter. The Russell 3000® Value Index (R3000V) and the Russell 1000® Value Index (R1000V) increased 5.1% and 5.3% respectively, while the S&P 500® Index climbed 6.6%. Our All-Cap Value (ACV) SMA and Large Cap Value (LCV) SMA composites each gained 6.8% gross*. Net of a 3% hypothetical maximum annual SMA fee, results would be 6.1% and 6.0% for the quarter (ACV/LCV).[1] For the year, the Russell 3000 Value Index gained 13.2%, the Russell 1000 Value Index was up 13.7%, and the S&P 500 Index climbed 21.8%. Our ACV and LCV SMA composites increased 15.6% gross*. Net of a 3% hypothetical maximum annual SMA fee, 2017 results would be 12.2% and 12.3% for ACV and LCV, respectively. Relative to the R3000V and R1000V, over both periods our value added was attributable to a combination of our stock selection and our sector over/under weights. Conversely, cash was the biggest detractor from relative performance as valuations continue to present challenges for our conservative approach.

As mentioned last quarter, style continued to be an important factor in 2017, with growth outperforming value by the widest margin since 2009. Moreover, growth stocks have now outperformed value stocks for the majority of the past eleven years. In fact, despite some reversion in 2016 (especially post election), 2017 has extended the longest period of growth-stock dominance in decades.

Perhaps the defining characteristic of the U.S. equity market in 2017 was the lack of volatility. In fact, by some measures it was the quietest year since the Beatles appeared on the Ed Sullivan Show in 1964. According to the Wall Street Journal Market Data Group, the absolute daily percentage change for the S&P 500 in 2017 was 0.3%, the smallest in 53 years.[2] Several milestones like this were set in 2017. For example, the S&P 500 has risen for 14 straight months through last month, an unprecedented streak. Likewise, the index hasn't experienced a drop of 3% or more from an all-time high since November 7, 2016, a record-setting length of time.[3]

<u>Investment Environment</u>

While our strategies outperformed our benchmarks for 2017 and we recognize that there have been positive developments in the overall investment climate, we enter 2018 similarly cautious to recent years. It is encouraging that 2017 full year earnings will likely register strong growth, but measured over a multi-year period, in our opinion earnings progress has been lackluster. In fact, 2017 will barely surpass the previous peak in earnings achieved in 2014. Market prices were up in line with earnings growth in 2017, leaving valuations similar to the beginning of the year. We believe that starting valuation, as much or more than any other factor, determines likely forward return prospects. At 25x trailing GAAP and 21x pro-forma earnings, the market, as reflected by the S&P 500, remains expensive by historical standards.[4]

Another positive development for equity investors is the tax-reform legislation Congress passed in late 2017. All else being equal, this legislation should make a meaningful contribution to earnings growth in 2018, particularly for domestically focused businesses like financials and retail. However, we caution that the longer-term bottom-line effects may or may not prove permanent, since any growth in earnings is largely a one-year impact. As such, investors should express some level of skepticism in assigning permanently higher earnings, growth rates and valuations to those companies meaningfully affected by the legislation. Our observation of market prices over the last 15 months suggests the opposite may be occurring.

On the debt side, short-term interest rates continue to rise as a result of Federal Reserve tightening, and the expectation is for further rate increases into 2018. On the longer end of the curve, rates have risen much less, creating a flattening yield curve. This bears watching. In the past, flattening to negative yield curves have anticipated slowdowns in the economy, a viewpoint which is very much at odds with the prevailing economic sentiment. The high-yield bond market remains priced near historic low levels in terms of bond spreads, or excess yield over Treasuries, affording little room for error when the credit cycle turns. Finally, for the first time since 2008, 2-year Treasury bonds, a relatively short term and safe investment, now yield more than the dividend yield on the S&P 500, a relationship that may have ramifications for the equity markets going forward.

With that as backdrop, our mission remains the same: constructing a portfolio of stocks that offers reasonable odds of investment success across a range of potential market outcomes. Today, we are faced with an interesting environment that has some elements of the last two periods of market excesses in the late ’90s and 2005-2007. Though valuations are broadly high today, we feel they are not as excessive as some sectors, most notably Information Technology and Consumer Staples, were in the late ’90s. And, while there are earnings quality and debt concerns today, in our view nothing approaches the level of existential risk that financials presented in ’05-’07.

Even though we think risks are not as significant as either of those two prior periods, we do believe they are spread more broadly and persistently. Unlike the late ’90s, it is difficult for us to find cheap (and safe) stocks, and issues around corporate leverage, pro-forma earnings and (perhaps) unsustainably high margins are more widespread than in ’05-’07. Given this environment, we are not focusing on one big risk to avoid, as we largely were in the two prior periods, but rather fighting some of today’s risks on multiple fronts while marginally tilting away from those we believe to be the worst offenders. As we have often said, what is NOT in your portfolio is often AS important to your returns as what is IN your portfolio.

Portfolio Review

During the quarter we purchased a new position in Schlumberger (SLB), a large and diversified provider of oilfield services including technical reservoir evaluation, drilling and production-related services. The company’s performance has been impacted by lower oilfield activity due to commodity price declines over the last several years. Despite this challenging environment, Schlumberger has maintained a prudent capitalization structure and strong cash flow characteristics, positioning the company to both endure a sustained downturn and capitalize should oilfield activity improve.

We trimmed PNC Financial Services, Taiwan Semiconductor, and Wal-Mart, all due to valuation after strong price increases. We added to our positions in Target and Wells Fargo on price weakness. Both maintain good structural characteristics but have lagged the market due to company-specific challenges we believe are sufficiently reflected in their stock prices.

Other Updates

We have two noteworthy items to share with you. First, we have moved our offices. You can now find us three miles down the road at 1776 Peachtree Street, Suite 600S, Atlanta, GA 30309. We were spread across three floors in our previous space. Now, we are located on one floor, making it easier for us to collaborate and function as a team. Second, as we mentioned in our update last summer we had launched a search for a research analyst to join our team. We are pleased Robert “Bo” Ladyman joined our team last month. Bo comes to us with over eight years of analyst experience, most recently at Resolution Capital, and we believe his knowledge, intelligence and personality will prove an asset to the team.

We thank you for your continued partnership with EIC and are here to support you any way that we can.

Investment Team

W. Andrew Bruner, CFA, CPA

R. Terrence Irrgang, CFA

Ian Zabor, CFA

Please see disclosures on the next page.

Disclosures

[1]EIC's ACV and LCV results are those of our All-Cap Value SMA and Large-Cap Value SMA Composites gross (before) and net (after) assumed maximum annual SMA fees of 3% (0.25% per month). SMA fees include transaction costs, portfolio management, custody, and other administrative fees.*

Gross returns for EIC SMA Composites are "pure" gross returns, do not reflect the deduction of any expenses, including trading costs, and are presented as supplemental information to the full disclosure presentations which are considered an integral part of this report. All returns include reinvestment of dividends and interest. Indices are unmanaged, do not incur management fees, costs or expenses, and cannot be invested in directly. Results are historical and do not imply future rates of returns or volatility for EIC or for the indices, which may be materially different from the past and from one another.* ***Individual account results may differ from those of a composite. Client net returns are reduced by EIC's management fees and may possibly be reduced by brokerage firm wrap fees, which include transaction costs, portfolio management, custody, and other administrative fees.*

The securities identified and described above do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold or recommended for client accounts. Actual portfolio holdings may vary for each client, and there is no guarantee that a particular client's account will hold any, or all, of the securities identified. The reader should not assume that an investment in the securities identified was or will be profitable.

Frank Russell Company is the source and owner of the Russell Index data contained or reflected in this material and all trademarks and copyrights related thereto. This is a presentation of EIC. The presentation may contain confidential information and unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, dissemination or redistribution is strictly prohibited. Frank Russell Company is not responsible for the formatting or configuration of this material or for any inaccuracy in EIC's presentation thereof. S&P 500® and Russell Indices information is sourced from Capital IQ.

[2]Vlastelica, Ryan, "The Last Time Stocks Were This Quiet Was the Year the Beatles Went on Ed Sullivan." MarketWatch, Inc., 20 December 2017. https://www.marketwatch.com/story/the-last-time-stocks-were-this-quiet-was-the-year-the-beatles-went-on-ed-sullivan-2017-12-14. 18 January 2018.

[3]Bilello, Charlie, "2017: The Year in Charts." Pension Partners. 05 January 2018. http://pensionpartners.com/2017-the-year-in-charts." 18 January 2018

[4]Source: Standard & Poors. Trailing twelve month earnings as of September 30, 2017; forward earnings estimates for calendar year 2017. Prices as of January 3, 2018, from Yahoo! Finance.

Large-Cap Value SMA Composite Performance Description

Equity Investment Corporation (EIC) is an SEC registered independent investment advisor incorporated in the state of Georgia. EIC was founded in 1986. Effective September 30, 2016, substantially all of the assets and liabilities of the firm were acquired by three members of the investment team who collectively have more than 40 years of experience at EIC. Accounts continue to be managed using the same investment process and the firm continues to operate as EIC. Performance numbers are the value-weighted, time-weighted, total return composite results of fully discretionary large-cap value wrap fee (SMA) accounts managed in the style of the firm's traditional value methodology with a large-cap bias. The strategy employs a flexible framework of investing in high-quality, well-managed companies, while at the same time avoiding those that look inexpensive relative to their historical record but are actually in structural decline. Prior to January 1, 2013, the composite was called the Large-Cap Value Wrap Composite. Returns are generally presented net of foreign withholding taxes on dividends, interest income, and capital gains; however, returns for some accounts are presented gross of foreign taxes depending on the treatment by their custodian. The composite creation date is January 1, 2001, and SMA accounts comprise 100% of the composite. For comparison purposes the composite is measured against the Russell 1000® Value Index, which excludes an advisory fee. On January 1, 2003 the benchmark was changed retroactively from the S&P 500® Index to the Russell 1000® Value Index which is more representative of the composite. The Russell 1000® Value Index measures the performance of the large-cap value segment of the US equity universe. It is the portion of the Russell 1000® Index companies with lower price-to-book ratios and lower expected growth values. The Russell 1000® Index includes approximately 1000 of the largest US companies and represents 90% of the US equity market.

									Advisory-Only (UMA) and Managed Assets		
Year Ended Dec - 31	Gross* Rate of Return[1] (Supplemental)	Hypothetical 3% annual Net Rate of Return[1]	Benchmark Return of Russell 1000® Value Index	Composite 3-Yr St Dev	Benchmark 3-Yr St Dev	Dispersion[2] of Annual Returns (St Dev)	Number of Portfolios[3]	Composite Assets ($ Millions)	UMA Assets[4] ($ Millions) (Supplemental)	GIPS® Firm Assets ($ Millions)	Total[4] ($ Millions) (Supplemental)
2017	15.6%	12.3%	13.7%	7.8%	10.2%	0.7%	902	$301.6	$2,044.9	$2,790.7	$4,835.6
2016	11.9%	8.6%	17.3%	8.5%	10.8%	0.5%	938	$289.0	$2,044.5	$2,994.4	$5,038.9
2015	-4.5%	-7.3%	-3.8%	8.9%	10.7%	0.4%	1146	$318.5	$1,590.0	$3,658.9	$5,248.9
2014	15.0%	11.6%	13.5%	8.1%	9.2%	0.5%	361	$159.4	$1,657.7	$3,862.6	$5,520.3
2013	24.8%	21.2%	32.5%	9.4%	12.7%	0.5%	863	$328.7	$1,009.2	$3,286.3	$4,295.5
2012	10.0%	6.8%	17.5%	11.5%	15.5%	0.3%	658	$197.2	$665.6	$2,301.1	$2,966.7
2011	8.2%	5.0%	0.4%	15.9%	20.7%	0.3%	465	$130.1	$314.5	$1,127.9	$1,442.5
2010	16.8%	13.4%	15.5%	18.5%	23.2%	0.4%	409	$98.2	$77.9	$836.9	$914.8
2009	25.0%	21.4%	19.7%	17.2%	21.1%	1.0%	386	$80.0	$10.5	$541.2	$551.8
2008	-22.8%	-25.2%	-36.9%	12.1%	15.4%	N/A	3	$0.9	$0.0	$362.6	$362.6
2007	2.1%	-0.9%	-0.2%	6.9%	8.1%	N/A	3	$1.1	$0.0	$448.1	$448.1
2006	17.7%	14.3%	22.3%	6.0%	6.7%	N/A	3	$1.0	$0.0	$487.2	$487.2
2005	5.7%	2.6%	7.1%	8.7%	9.5%	0.4%	18	$9.3	$0.0	$463.6	$463.6
2004	13.1%	9.8%	16.5%	12.7%	14.8%	0.4%	18	$8.9	$0.0	$388.1	$388.1
2003	23.3%	19.7%	30.0%	14.2%	16.0%	1.1%	21	$8.5	$0.0	$231.0	$231.0
2002	-9.0%	-11.7%	-15.5%	N/A	N/A	0.5%	42	$11.0	$0.0	$110.7	$110.7
2001	14.6%	11.3%	-5.6%	N/A	N/A	1.2%	45	$12.4	$0.0	$82.2	$82.2

[1] *Gross returns, presented as supplemental information, are "pure" gross and do not reflect the deduction of any expenses, including trading costs, for SMA accounts. Net returns are calculated by reducing gross returns with an annual SMA fee of 3.0% (0.75%/quarter during 2001 and 0.25%/month thereafter).

[2] Dispersion is an asset-weighted standard deviation for the accounts in the composite the entire year (or year-to-date). "N/A" represents when dispersion is not statistically meaningful due to an insufficient number of portfolios in the composite for the entire year.

[3] Number of Portfolios/Composite Assets significantly decreased in Q4, 2014 and Q4, 2016 due to transitioning of two major SMA programs to model based (UMA) programs.

[4] "Total Assets" include our regulatory assets under management ("GIPS® Firm Assets") and our advisory-only "UMA Assets". EIC has no trading discretion for UMA accounts and provides a model portfolio to the program sponsor or overlay manager. The "UMA Assets" and "Total Assets " amounts are shown as supplemental information.

<u>Additional Note</u>: The three year annualized standard deviation measures variability of the composite (gross of fees) and the benchmark returns over the preceding 36 month period.

Performance has been measured on a monthly basis from January 1, 2001, to present. Periods are geometrically linked to obtain the quarterly and annual results. Eligible new accounts are added to the composite at the beginning of the first full quarter under EIC management. Trade-date accounting with monthly valuations and adjustments for large cash flows are used. Results are based on fully discretionary accounts under management, including those accounts no longer with the firm. The US Dollar is the currency used to express performance. Returns include the reinvestment of all income. During 2002, 2% of the assets are non-fee paying accounts. There are no non-fee paying accounts during any other period. Economic and market conditions have differed over the time period displayed, and likewise will be different in the future. Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.

EIC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS® standards. EIC has been independently verified for the periods January 1, 1986, through September 30, 2017. Verification assesses whether (1) the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS® standards on a firm-wide basis and (2) the firm's policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with the GIPS® standards. The Large-Cap Value SMA composite has been examined for the periods January 1, 2001, through September 30, 2017. The verification and composite examination reports, as well as a complete list and description of the firm's composites, are available upon request by contacting Equity Investment Corporation, 1776 Peachtree Street NW, Suite 600S, Atlanta, GA 30309. Prospective clients should be aware that results are historical and do not imply future rates of return or volatility for EIC or the indices, which may be materially different from the past and from each other.

Investment management fees are based on market values of the assets under management. EIC's maximum annual fees for SMA accounts (charged quarterly) are 0.75%. Total fees charged may equal 3% per year. SMA schedules are provided by independent SMA sponsors and are available upon request from the individual sponsor. Further information about fees and compensation is discussed in EIC's form ADV Part 2 (www.adviserinfo.sec.gov).

Frank Russell Company is the source and owner of the Russell Index data contained or reflected in this material and all trademarks and copyrights related thereto. This is a presentation of EIC. The presentation may contain confidential information and unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, dissemination or redistribution is strictly prohibited. Frank Russell Company is not responsible for the formatting or configuration of this material or for any inaccuracy in EIC's presentation thereof. Russell Index information is sourced from S&P Capital IQ.

GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute has not been involved in the preparation or review of this report/advertisement.

All-Cap Value SMA Composite
Performance Description

Equity Investment Corporation (EIC) is an SEC registered independent investment advisor incorporated in the state of Georgia. EIC was founded in 1986. Effective September 30, 2016, substantially all of the assets and liabilities of the firm were acquired by three members of the investment team who collectively have more than 40 years of experience at EIC. Accounts continue to be managed using the same investment process, and the firm continues to operate as EIC. Performance numbers (beginning July 1, 1995) are the value-weighted, time-weighted, total return composite results of fully discretionary All-Cap Value equity wrap fee (SMA) accounts. The strategy employs a flexible framework (not constrained by any cap size limitations) of investing in high-quality, well-managed companies, while at the same time avoiding those that look inexpensive relative to their historical record but are actually in structural decline. Prior to January 1, 2013, the composite was called the All-Cap Value Wrap Composite. Returns are generally presented net of foreign withholding taxes on dividends, interest income, and capital gains; however, returns for some accounts are presented gross of foreign taxes depending on the treatment by their custodian. Prior to July 1, 1995, the returns are that of the All-Cap Value composite. Results for the period January 1, 1989, through July 1, 1995 include both SMA and non-SMA accounts. During this period, SMA accounts represent on average 24% of the composite. Since July 1, 1995, SMA accounts comprise 100% of the composite. The composite creation date is July 1, 1995. All accounts included in the composite are managed according to similar investment guidelines. On January 1, 2003, the benchmark (which excludes an advisory fee) was changed retroactively from the S&P® 500 Index to the Russell 3000® Value Index because it is more representative of the composite. Performance includes reinvestment of dividends, and EIC's returns also include interest earned on cash. The benchmark index is the Russell 3000® Value Index, which excludes an advisory fee, and was chosen because it is representative of the composite's investment style. The Russell 3000® Value Index measures the performance of the broad value segment of the US equity universe. It is the portion of the Russell 3000® Index companies with lower price-to-book ratios and lower forecasted growth rates. The Russell 3000® Index consists of the largest 3000 US companies and represents 98% of the investable US equity market.

									Advisory-Only (UMA) and Managed Assets		
Year Ended Dec - 31	Gross* Rate of Return[1] (Supplemental)	Hypothetical 3% Annual Net Rate of Return[1]	Benchmark Return of Russell 3000® Value Index	Composite 3-Yr St Dev	Benchmark 3-Yr St Dev	Dispersion[2] of Annual Returns (St Dev)	Number of Portfolios[3]	Composite Assets ($ Millions)	UMA Assets[4] ($ Millions) (Supplemental)	GIPS® Firm Assets ($ Millions)	Total Assets[4] ($ Millions) (Supplemental)
2017	15.6%	12.2%	13.2%	8.0%	10.3%	0.4%	2486	$1,264.8	$2,044.9	$2,790.7	$4,835.6
2016	12.2%	8.9%	18.4%	8.6%	11.0%	0.5%	2893	$1,406.1	$2,044.5	$2,994.4	$5,038.9
2015	-4.4%	-7.2%	-4.1%	8.9%	10.7%	0.5%	4727	$1,964.8	$1,590.0	$3,658.9	$5,248.9
2014	14.9%	11.5%	12.7%	8.1%	9.4%	0.5%	5272	$2,259.6	$1,657.7	$3,862.6	$5,520.3
2013	24.7%	21.1%	32.7%	9.2%	12.9%	0.6%	4290	$1,703.6	$1,009.2	$3,286.3	$4,295.5
2012	10.0%	6.7%	17.6%	11.5%	15.8%	0.4%	2742	$1,016.1	$665.6	$2,301.1	$2,966.7
2011	7.4%	4.2%	-0.1%	16.3%	21.0%	0.6%	1398	$556.0	$314.5	$1,127.9	$1,442.5
2010	18.2%	14.7%	16.2%	18.7%	23.5%	0.5%	937	$432.6	$77.9	$836.9	$914.8
2009	26.9%	23.2%	19.8%	17.3%	21.3%	1.3%	743	$282.7	$10.5	$541.2	$551.8
2008	-22.9%	-25.2%	-36.3%	11.7%	15.5%	1.0%	946	$220.2	$0.0	$362.6	$362.6
2007	3.3%	0.3%	-1.0%	7.0%	8.3%	0.8%	935	$283.5	$0.0	$448.1	$448.1
2006	16.6%	13.1%	22.3%	6.2%	7.0%	0.8%	758	$252.7	$0.0	$487.2	$487.2
2005	2.8%	-0.3%	6.9%	8.8%	9.7%	0.7%	675	$195.5	$0.0	$463.6	$463.6
2004	13.9%	10.6%	16.9%	11.4%	14.8%	0.8%	531	$137.4	$0.0	$388.1	$388.1
2003	25.2%	21.6%	31.1%	13.6%	16.0%	0.8%	289	$70.0	$0.0	$231.0	$231.0
2002	-4.1%	-6.9%	-15.2%	15.9%	16.6%	1.5%	59	$14.6	$0.0	$110.7	$110.7
2001	16.9%	13.5%	-4.3%	15.7%	14.1%	0.8%	13	$5.4	$0.0	$82.2	$82.2
2000	18.6%	15.2%	8.0%	18.0%	16.8%	0.8%	16	$6.5	$0.0	$62.3	$62.3
1999	2.1%	-0.9%	6.6%	15.7%	15.9%	1.0%	27	$13.0	$0.0	$64.1	$64.1
1998	16.2%	12.8%	13.5%	14.5%	14.9%	0.9%	11	$2.8	$0.0	$35.2	$35.2
1997	30.1%	26.4%	34.8%	8.8%	9.5%	0.8%	12	$4.9	$0.0	$38.8	$38.8
1996	8.0%	4.8%	21.6%	7.7%	9.2%	0.6%	19	$16.6	$0.0	$69.7	$69.7
1995	19.7%	16.2%	37.0%	6.2%	8.3%	0.6%	42	$23.0	$0.0	$93.4	$93.4
1994	0.2%	-2.8%	-1.9%	5.7%	8.2%	0.8%	65	$32.7	$0.0	$92.6	$92.6
1993	11.3%	8.0%	18.7%	8.0%	9.5%	0.7%	72	$44.0	$0.0	$84.5	$84.5
1992	10.6%	7.4%	14.9%	12.5%	13.7%	0.9%	69	$53.3	$0.0	$84.1	$84.1
1991	37.0%	33.0%	25.4%	13.3%	14.5%	1.3%	58	$35.6	$0.0	$48.9	$48.9
1990	-8.0%	-10.7%	-8.8%	13.2%	13.5%	0.7%	59	$25.8	$0.0	$30.4	$30.4
1989	20.8%	17.3%	24.2%	18.0%	17.6%	1.6%	51	$21.4	$0.0	$27.8	$27.8
1988	27.4%	23.7%	23.6%	19.9%	18.9%	1.7%	14	$6.0	$0.0	$8.0	$8.0
1987	10.6%	7.4%	-0.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	$0.5	$0.0	$0.6	$0.6
1986	25.0%	21.3%	18.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	$0.2	$0.0	$0.2	$0.2

See next page for Table Notes and other disclosures

Table Notes:
[1] *Gross returns, presented as supplemental information, are "pure" gross and do not reflect the deduction of any expenses, including trading costs, for SMA accounts. "Pure" gross returns from 10/1/02 through 12/31/06, reflect the deduction of trading costs but not any additional expenses. For the period 1/1/89 through 7/1/95, SMA accounts represent on average 24% of the composite assets. Prior to 7/1/95 and for the periods 10/1/02 through 12/31/06, the returns are that of EIC's All-Cap Value composite. For all other periods, SMA accounts represent 100% of the composite assets. Net returns are calculated by reducing gross returns with an annual SMA fee of 3.0%, applied monthly.
[2] Dispersion is an asset-weighted standard deviation for the accounts in the composite for the entire year (or year-to-date). For 1986 through 1995 dispersion represents EIC's All-Cap Value composite, which contains both SMA and non-SMA accounts. For 1996 through 2005, dispersion represents EIC's internally administered SMA accounts.
[3] Number of Portfolios/Composite Assets significantly decreased in 2016 due to transitioning of a major SMA program to a model based (UMA) program during Q416.
[4] "Total Assets" include our regulatory assets under management ("GIPS® Firm Assets") and our advisory-only "UMA Assets". EIC has no trading discretion for UMA accounts and provides a model portfolio to the program sponsor or overlay manager. The "UMA Assets" and "Total Assets " amounts are shown as supplemental information.
Additional Notes: The three year annualized standard deviation measures variability of the composite and the benchmark returns over the preceding 36 month period.

Performance has been measured on a monthly basis from January 1, 1986, to present. Periods are geometrically linked to obtain the quarterly and annual results. Eligible new accounts are added to the composite at the beginning of the first full quarter under EIC management. Trade-date accounting with monthly valuations and adjustments for large cash flows are used. Results are based on fully discretionary accounts under management, including those accounts no longer with the firm. The US Dollar is the currency used to express performance. Returns include the reinvestment of all income. There were non fee-paying accounts during the following years: 1986: 100%, 1987: 36%, 1988: 2%, 1999-2000: 1%, 2010 - 2017: <1%. Economic and market conditions have differed over the time period displayed, and likewise will be different in the future. Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.

EIC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) since inception and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS® standards. EIC has been independently verified for the periods January 1, 1986, through September 30, 2017. Verification assesses whether (1) the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS® standards on a firm-wide basis and (2) the firm's policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with the GIPS® standards. Verification does not ensure the accuracy of any specific composite presentation. The verification reports, as well as a complete list and description of all the firm's composites, are available upon request by contacting Equity Investment Corporation, 1776 Peachtree Street NW, Suite 600S, Atlanta, GA 30309. Prospective clients should be aware that results are historical and do not imply future rates of return or volatility for EIC or the indices, which may be materially different from the past and from each other.

Investment management fees are based on market values of the assets under management. EIC's maximum annual fees for SMA accounts (charged quarterly) are 0.75%. Total fees charged may equal 3% per year. SMA schedules are provided by independent SMA sponsors and are available upon request from the individual sponsor. Further information about fees and compensation is discussed in EIC's form ADV Part 2 (www.adviserinfo.sec.gov).